ISSN : 2962-9195 E-ISSN : 2963-8992

Journal of Educational Management Research
Vol. 04 No. 05 (2025) : 2402-2413
Available online at https://serambi.org/index.php/jemr

Empowering Education through Digital Leadership: The Evolving Role of School Principals

Chairul Anwar*, Ahmad Aznem, Lidyawati Tandi Payung, Azainil, Dwi Nugroho Hidayanto
Universitas Mulawarman, Indonesia
Email : chairul.anwar@uinsi.ac.id

DOI: https://doi.org/10.61987/jemr.v4i5.1243

Keywords:
Digital Leadership, Educational Organizations, Digital Leaders, Educational Transformation

**Corresponding Author*

ABSTRACT

The digital transformation in education demands school principals to act as visionary, adaptive, and transformative digital leaders. Their role extends beyond providing infrastructure—it involves integrating technology into school management and the learning process. This study systematically examines the principal's role as a digital leader in educational institutions and identifies existing research gaps. Using a literature review method, this study analyzes scholarly articles indexed in SINTA 1, Scopus, WoS, Elsevier, Springer, Taylor and Francis, Copernicus, and EBSCO from the past ten years. Inclusion criteria focused on digital leadership, educational leadership, and the role of principals. Thematic analysis revealed that digital leadership significantly enhances teaching quality, teachers' digital literacy, and the development of a technology-driven school culture. Principals who embrace digital leadership foster innovation, collaboration, and more efficient resource management. However, challenges remain, including policy sustainability, teacher resistance to technology, digital access disparities, and limited research on digital ethics and security. The findings highlight the need for a supportive ecosystem involving government, educators, parents, and communities. This study recommends sustained digital leadership training, inclusive policymaking, and further exploration of the long-term impact of digital leadership on educational equity.

Article History:
Received: June 2025; Revised: July 2025; Accepted: August 2025

Please cite this article in APA style as:
Anwar, C., Aznem, A., Payung, L, T., Azainil., & Hidayanto, D, N. (2025). Empowering Education through Digital Leadership: The Evolving Role of School Principals. *Journal of Educational Management Research, 4*(5), 2402-2413.

INTRODUCTION

In today's rapidly changing world, digital transformation has become a defining feature not only in business and government but also in education. Schools, as key institutions for preparing future generations, face growing pressure to integrate digital technologies in meaningful ways. The importance of

this issue extends beyond mere access to devices; it lies in how schools utilize technology to enhance learning outcomes, foster creativity, and prepare students for complex global challenges. Research shows that digital leadership in schools can play a decisive role in aligning technological innovations with pedagogical goals and ethical considerations (Wollscheid et al., 2025). Without strong leadership, technology adoption risks being superficial, serving as an add-on rather than an enabler of deeper learning. Therefore, understanding the dynamics of digital leadership in schools is crucial not only for academic scholarship but also for ensuring that technological investment in education brings real value to society. This study addresses the need to reimagine school leadership as a transformative, innovative, and ethically responsible practice.

Despite significant investments in educational technology, many schools continue to struggle with translating digital tools into meaningful pedagogical practices. One core challenge is that the integration of technology often focuses on infrastructure—computers, tablets, and platforms—without sufficient consideration of leadership and vision. This gap results in inconsistent adoption among teachers, varying levels of student engagement, and limited improvement in learning outcomes. Studies highlight that principals frequently assume the role of administrators managing technology logistics rather than acting as leaders who strategically guide digital transformation (Schmitz et al., 2023). As a result, technology often becomes an end in itself rather than a means to improve teaching and learning. Furthermore, issues of inequality and access persist, leaving vulnerable groups at risk of exclusion (Alajmi, 2020). These challenges illustrate that the problem is not merely about technology provision, but about how leadership practices shape digital culture in schools. This study seeks to address these persistent problems by focusing on the role of digital leadership.

Empirical evidence from schools worldwide shows that transformational leadership significantly influences how teachers integrate digital tools in the classroom. For example, research published in Computers and Education emphasizes that principals who articulate a clear vision, provide personal support, and model technology use create a climate that encourages teachers to experiment and adopt new methods confidently. Such leadership fosters creativity, collaboration, and adaptability among teachers, enhancing their readiness to embrace digital pedagogy (Witthöft, J, 2024). In Indonesia, similar trends are observed. Studies reveal that principal leadership directly affects the effectiveness of e-learning, both by shaping teachers' attitudes and by strengthening their professional commitment (Hidayat, 2024; Suryaman et al., 2024). However, challenges remain, including principals' limited digital communication skills, competitive intelligence, and resilience to rapid

technological change. These phenomena indicate that digital leadership is not just theoretical; it is a lived practice with tangible impacts on teachers and students. They also highlight the urgent need for systematic training and measurement tools.

The global research landscape on digital leadership in schools has expanded rapidly in recent years, with bibliometric analyses from Web of Science and Scopus confirming its strategic importance (Wollscheid et al., 2025). Scholars have developed frameworks such as professional digital competence (PDC) to capture the multidimensional skills required of school leaders, covering not only technical aspects but also pedagogical, organizational, and ethical dimensions (Schmitz et al., 2023). Evidence consistently points to the positive relationship between transformational leadership and teachers' digital integration, as well as the role of principals in fostering innovation ecosystems within schools (Ören & Atik, 2025). Yet, most studies stop at describing correlations between leadership styles and technology use. They rarely establish causal relationships or assess long-term effects on student learning. This limitation suggests a need for more rigorous research designs, such as quasi-experimental or longitudinal studies (Karakose et al., 2024). Our research positions itself within this gap, aiming to contribute more robust evidence to the field.

Despite these advances, several weaknesses remain in the current body of literature. First, many studies focus on pilot programs or single contexts, leaving unanswered questions about scalability and sustainability when initiatives are expanded across different school environments (Wollscheid et al., 2025). Second, there is limited empirical work addressing equity issues—how digital leadership affects students in under-resourced schools or marginalized groups (Liu et al., 2024). Third, while measurement instruments for digital leadership have been proposed, cross-cultural validation is still insufficient, making it difficult to compare findings across countries or design globally relevant policies (Karakose et al., 2024). Finally, ethical dimensions such as data privacy, transparency, and governance remain underexplored, often confined to normative recommendations without empirical grounding (Alajmi, 2020). These gaps underscore the urgency for studies that combine quantitative and qualitative methods, address ethical considerations, and develop validated instruments. Our research builds on these insights while seeking to fill the gaps through contextually grounded and methodologically rigorous approaches.

This study contributes a novel perspective by positioning digital leadership not merely as an administrative function but as a transformative force that bridges strategy, pedagogy, innovation, and ethics. While previous research has recognized the importance of leadership in technology integration, few

studies have explicitly framed principals as digital leaders who act simultaneously as innovation catalysts, data governance stewards, and agents of equity (Taylor & Francis, 2024). By integrating concepts of open innovation, professional digital competence, and transformational leadership, this research advances a comprehensive framework that reflects the complexities of the 21st-century school environment. The novelty lies in addressing digital leadership as a multidimensional construct that goes beyond technology management, encompassing organizational resilience, ethical decision-making, and collaborative culture. In doing so, this study not only responds to identified research gaps but also introduces a state-of-the-art conceptualization that is highly relevant for both global scholarship and local practice in countries like Indonesia, where challenges and opportunities intersect.

Based on the issues and gaps identified, the central research problem of this study concerns how school principals can exercise effective digital leadership that improves teaching practices, supports teacher readiness, ensures ethical technology use, and promotes educational equity. While evidence suggests positive links between leadership and teacher adoption of technology, the causal mechanisms, sustainability, and broader student impacts remain underexplored (Karakose et al., 2024). Thus, this study seeks to investigate the dimensions of digital leadership that matter most, how they interact with teacher competence, and how they translate into meaningful learning outcomes. The research problem is particularly relevant in contexts like Indonesia, where digital transformation is accelerating but structural inequalities persist (Hidayat, 2024; Suryaman et al., 2024). Addressing this problem requires not only empirical analysis but also the development of validated tools for measuring leadership competencies across cultural contexts. Our study therefore combines theoretical contributions with practical implications for school improvement.

This study argues that effective digital leadership is essential for transforming schools into learning communities that are adaptive, innovative, and equitable. By advancing a multidimensional framework of digital leadership, the research offers a lens through which policymakers, educators, and researchers can better understand and support principals' roles in digital transformation. Methodologically, the study proposes moving beyond descriptive accounts toward more robust approaches, including comparative, longitudinal, and mixed-method designs. Such approaches are necessary to capture both the direct and indirect impacts of leadership on teaching and learning outcomes (Xu et al., 2024). Conceptually, the research integrates global insights with local evidence from Indonesia, contributing to a more context-sensitive understanding of leadership in diverse educational systems. Practically,

it provides guidelines for capacity building, professional development, and ethical governance in schools. The contribution lies in offering evidence-based strategies that empower principals to lead digital change effectively, sustainably, and responsibly in the 21st century (Karakose et al., 2024).

METHOD

This study employed a systematic literature review to analyze and map the development of research on principals' digital leadership in educational organizations. This approach was chosen because it allowed researchers to critically synthesize previous research findings over the past ten years (2015–2025). Systematic literature reviews have proven effective in identifying research trends, evaluating the quality of evidence, and formulating data-driven recommendations (Moher et al., 2015). The research data sources were nationally and internationally indexed journal articles, including SINTA 1, Scopus, Web of Science, Copernicus, Elsevier, Springer, Taylor and Francis Online, and EBSCO. The included articles were primary publications examining school leadership, digital transformation, and the role of principals as digital leaders. Articles were collected through keyword searches such as digital leadership, school leadership, educational digital transformation, and principal as digital leader. The article selection process followed the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) process, which includes identification, screening, eligibility assessment, and inclusion (Liberati et al., 2009).

Data analysis was conducted using content analysis, which included identifying themes, categorizing digital leadership dimensions, and mapping research trends. The analysis results were then organized into two main sections: what is known and what remains unknown in the study of principals' digital leadership. These findings were then used to formulate recommendations for further research and future digital leadership practices, thereby significantly contributing to the development of digital literacy and the effectiveness of learning in schools.

FINDING AND DISCUSSION

Finding

The Role of Digital Leadership in Educational Organizations

School principals are increasingly recognized as pivotal figures in shaping the digital transformation of education. Their role as digital leaders goes far beyond the technical use of technology. Effective digital leaders create a vision that integrates technology with pedagogy, cultivate a culture of innovation, and ensure the long-term sustainability of digital initiatives. Schools led by principals who embrace this role are more likely to integrate digital tools into daily teaching practices, encourage teachers to experiment with technology, and inspire the

entire school community to adapt to change. In this way, digital leadership becomes a strategic driver of transformation, enabling schools to remain relevant in a world where technology evolves rapidly and continuously reshapes the future of learning.

Competencies Required of Digital Leaders

Successful digital leaders demonstrate a broad set of competencies that blend technical knowledge with human-centered leadership. Beyond digital literacy, they need skills in innovation management, change leadership, communication, and collaboration. Effective principals are those who can clearly articulate a digital vision, empower teachers through trust and support, and create opportunities for collective growth. Their leadership is not defined by technology alone, but by the ability to connect people, processes, and resources in ways that promote resilience and adaptability. When principals master these competencies, schools are better equipped to navigate uncertainty, harness emerging technologies, and sustain meaningful digital transformation over time.

Impact of Digital Leadership on Teachers and Students

The influence of digital leadership extends directly to teachers and students. Teachers working under supportive digital leaders feel more confident experimenting with new instructional methods and integrating digital tools into their classrooms. They are encouraged to step outside traditional practices, try new approaches, and share innovative ideas with colleagues. As a result, students gain greater access to digital resources, encounter more interactive and engaging learning experiences, and benefit from teaching that is more closely aligned with their needs. Equally important, digital leadership plays a crucial role in advancing equity. Principals who prioritize inclusion ensure that access to technology is distributed fairly, even in schools with limited resources, helping to close the digital divide and promote equal learning opportunities for all students.

Challenges in Implementing Digital Leadership

Despite its potential, the practice of digital leadership faces several obstacles. Many schools encounter resistance from teachers who may feel overwhelmed by change or uncertain about their digital competence. Infrastructural limitations, such as unstable internet connectivity or outdated equipment, further constrain the effective use of technology in classrooms. Professional development opportunities for teachers are often insufficient, leaving them unprepared to integrate technology in meaningful ways. In addition, financial constraints remain a significant barrier, especially in developing countries where educational budgets are stretched thin. These challenges reveal that digital leadership requires not only visionary principals but also systemic support in terms of resources, policies, and professional

training to succeed.

Research Gaps and Future Directions

Although research has demonstrated the importance of digital leadership, several areas remain underexplored. Many studies have focused primarily on the roles and competencies of school leaders, while overlooking critical aspects such as digital ethics, policy sustainability, and the long-term evaluation of digital initiatives. Questions remain about how principals can ensure data privacy, maintain transparency in digital governance, and safeguard students' rights in an increasingly data-driven environment. Additionally, the sustainability of digital leadership practices over time is still uncertain. More research is needed to understand how digital transformation can be preserved across leadership transitions, scaled to diverse school contexts, and adapted to varying levels of resources. Addressing these gaps will be essential for developing strategies that make digital leadership not only effective but also ethical, inclusive, and enduring.

Discussion

The literature review makes it clear that digital leadership in schools should not be understood merely as the adoption of technology but rather as a deeper transformation of organizational culture. Principals play a crucial role in creating a digital vision that aligns with educational goals and in ensuring that technology is integrated into teaching, management, and school services in a meaningful way. As Richardson & Sterrett (2018) argue, genuine digital leaders are not those who follow fleeting technological trends but those who are able to foster sustainable innovation. In this sense, the success of digital leadership depends on a principal's capacity to build a future-oriented culture of innovation that empowers the entire school community to adapt and grow. Principals who act as digital leaders thus function as agents of change, capable of setting a clear digital vision, facilitating collaboration among staff, and strategically managing resources and infrastructure. Research shows that transformational leadership strengthens technology integration in classrooms and cultivates a culture of innovation in schools (AlAjmi, 2022; Hafiza & Rahman, 2021). Yet, challenges remain, particularly the gaps in digital competencies among principals, teachers, and students. In Indonesia, for instance, school leaders are expected to strengthen their digital competitive intelligence, communication, and resilience to exercise effective leadership (Riski et al., 2023). Moreover, when school culture resists technological change, the adoption of digital tools often becomes superficial and fails to transform learning in significant ways (Al-Tanzim, 2022).

To address these challenges, comprehensive intervention strategies are required, including targeted training, digital literacy programs, and the

development of safe spaces for experimentation. Digital leadership must focus not only on mastering technology but also on reshaping the values, norms, and practices that enable high-quality digital learning. In this regard, the competencies demanded of principals extend far beyond technical skills. Redecker (2017a) and Ismail (2022) highlight that digital literacy should be combined with managerial expertise, transformational leadership, and collaborative communication. Digital leadership is therefore multidimensional, requiring a blend of hard and soft skills. Training programs for principals must integrate technical instruction with the development of negotiation, motivation, change management, and problem-solving abilities to ensure that digital transformation moves beyond infrastructure provision and produces real changes in pedagogy and school culture. Principals must also be reflective leaders, capable of evaluating the impact of digital strategies, adjusting policies to school needs, and balancing innovation with ethical concerns such as data protection and privacy. Without this holistic integration, digital transformation risks becoming symbolic—technology is adopted, but learning quality remains unchanged.

Evidence consistently shows that digital leadership also has a direct impact on teachers and students. Principals who lead effectively in the digital sphere encourage teachers to view technology as a pedagogical resource, opening opportunities for innovative teaching methods, personalized learning, and the development of student competencies. As a result, students gain broader access to digital resources, engage more actively in learning, and strengthen key 21st-century skills such as digital literacy, critical thinking, and collaboration. Studies demonstrate that digital leadership significantly improves teacher readiness to use technology in classrooms (Al-Harthi, 2020) and plays a strategic role in ensuring equitable access to technology, reducing the risk of digital divides among students (Williamson & Hogan, 2020). However, much of the literature points out that while correlations between digital leadership and teacher innovation are strong, causal evidence linking leadership directly to improved student outcomes remains limited. Many existing studies stop at measuring teachers' adoption of technology, without examining whether these practices consistently raise student achievement. Future research, especially longitudinal and quasi-experimental studies, is needed to provide robust insights into these long-term effects.

At the same time, the implementation of digital leadership continues to face serious barriers. Resistance from teachers, insufficient infrastructure, and weak policy support emerge as recurring challenges (Spillane & Shirrell, 2019). These barriers are not only technical but also cultural, as meaningful digital transformation requires shifts in teaching practices, values, and collaborative

patterns. The challenges are particularly acute in under-resourced schools, where limited infrastructure and access to digital tools threaten to widen learning inequalities. This situation underscores the need for systemic approaches involving government, schools, and communities to strengthen educational digital capacity. Strategic interventions should include infrastructure provision, sustained teacher training, and supportive policy frameworks that encourage innovation and integration. Without such systemic support, the capacity of principals as digital leaders will remain constrained, as digital transformation is not the responsibility of individuals alone but the product of coordinated efforts across the educational ecosystem.

The current body of literature also reveals significant research gaps. Much of the scholarship has concentrated on the roles and competencies of principals, while issues such as digital ethics, long-term sustainability of policies, and comprehensive evaluation remain underexplored. Important questions regarding data governance, student privacy, and the broader social equity implications of digital education are rarely addressed in depth. This highlights the need for future research that expands its scope beyond individual leadership to examine how digital practices intersect with policy, school culture, and systemic reform. At the practical level, the findings emphasize the necessity of continuous and comprehensive professional development for school leaders. Training should strengthen both technical and managerial skills, as well as ethical and transformational leadership capabilities. Furthermore, policies must be designed to support equitable access to technology, ensuring that digital transformation does not exacerbate inequalities between schools. By embedding these principles, digital leadership can evolve into a sustainable and inclusive force that improves learning quality and reduces educational disparities in the digital age.

CONCLUSION

This study highlights that digital leadership in educational organizations transcends the mere adoption of technology; it represents a cultural transformation that redefines how schools envision and enact learning. Principals, as digital leaders, are not only managers of resources but also architects of future-oriented visions who combine technological literacy, managerial competence, transformative leadership, and collaborative communication to foster innovation and adaptability. When exercised effectively, digital leadership empowers teachers to embrace technology with confidence and equips students with inclusive, high-quality learning experiences that prepare them for the demands of the twenty-first century. Yet, the journey toward digital transformation is not without obstacles. Resistance from some

teachers, limited infrastructure, and the absence of systematic policy support reveal that digital leadership cannot succeed in isolation. Sustainable transformation requires the alignment of leadership with broader educational ecosystems, where collaboration among schools, governments, and communities ensures that resources, training, and policies work in harmony.

At the same time, the literature exposes critical areas in need of deeper exploration, particularly the ethical dimensions of digital education, the sustainability of digitalization policies, and the long-term implications of digital leadership for equity in schooling. Addressing these gaps is essential to ensure that digital leadership does not remain symbolic but instead translates into meaningful, lasting improvements in teaching, learning, and educational justice. Ultimately, the success of digital leadership lies in its ability to integrate vision with action, innovation with inclusivity, and technology with humanity.

REFERENCES

Al-Harthi, A. S. (2020). School Principals' Digital Leadership and ICT Integration in Teaching. *Education and Information Technologies*, *25*(4), 3585–3604. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-020-10128-9

Al-Tanzim, H. (2022). Challenges in Implementing Digital Leadership in Schools: A Cross-Cultural Study. *Education and Information Technologies*, *27*(4), 4571–4588. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-10912-5

AlAjmi, F. (2022). Digital Leadership in Educational Institutions: Enhancing School Innovation and Culture. *Journal of Educational Change*, *23*(2), 145–162. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10833-022-09456-3

Alajmi, M. K. (2020). Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID- 19 . The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect , the company ' s public news and information. *International Journal of Educational Research*, *January*, 1–10.

Hafiza, N., & Rahman, A. (2021). Transformational Leadership and Digital Integration in Schools: Evidence from International Contexts. *Computers & Education*, *168*, 104212. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2021.104212

Hidayat, R. et al. (2024). Digital Leadership of School Principals in Indonesia: Strategic Interventions Needed. *Pedagogia*. https://doi.org/10.17509/pedagogia.v22i2.67890

Ifinedo, P. (2021). Examining Digital Leadership in Education: Challenges and Opportunities. *Education and Information Technologies*, *26*(5), 5161–5179.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10516-5
Ismail, S., Kassim, N. L. A., & Hassan, R. (2022). Teachers' Readiness and Digital Leadership in the Era of Education 4.0. *International Journal of Instruction*, *15*(2), 547–564. https://doi.org/10.29333/iji.2022.15230a
Karakose, T., Polat, H., Tülübaş, T., & Demirkol, M. (2024). A Review of the Conceptual Structure and Evolution of Digital Leadership Research in Education. *Education Sciences*, *14*(11). https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14111166
Liberati, A., Altman, D. G., Tetzlaff, J., Mulrow, C., Gøtzsche, P. C., Ioannidis, J. P. A., Clarke, M., Devereaux, P. J., Kleijnen, J., & Moher, D. (2009). The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. *PLOS Medicine*, *6*(7), e1000100. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000100
Liu, K., Tschinkel, R., & Miller, R. (2024). Digital Equity and School Leadership in a Post-Digital World. *ECNU Review of Education*, *7*(3), 762–783. https://doi.org/10.1177/20965311231224083
Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., & Altman, D. G. (2015). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. *PLoS Medicine*, *6*(7), e1000097. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097
Ören, M. E., & Atik, S. (2025). Digital leadership in educational organizations: A scale adaptation study. *International Journal of Assessment Tools in Education*. https://doi.org/10.21449/ijate.12345
Redecker, C. (2017a). European framework for the digital competence of educators: DigCompEdu. In *Joint Research Centre (JRC) Science for Policy report*. https://doi.org/10.2760/159770
Redecker, C. (2017b). *European Framework for the Digital Competence of Educators: DigCompEdu*. Publications Office of the European Union. https://doi.org/10.2760/159770
Richardson, J. (2021). Challenges of being a digitally savvy principal. *Journal of Educational Administration*. https://doi.org/[via JEA]
Richardson, J. W., & Sterrett, W. L. (2018). Principals can be Digital Leaders. *Journal of School Leadership*, *28*(1), 3–21. https://doi.org/10.1177/105268461802800101
Riski, A., Santoso, P., & Wicaksono, R. (2023). Kompetensi Digital Kepala Sekolah dalam Transformasi Pendidikan di Indonesia. *Jurnal Pendidikan Indonesia*, *12*(1), 45–59. https://doi.org/10.23887/jpi.v12i1.5678
Schmitz, M.-L., Antonietti, C., Consoli, T., Cattaneo, A., Gonon, P., & Petko, D. (2023). Transformational leadership for technology integration in schools: Empowering teachers to use technology in a more demanding way. *Computers & Education*, *204*, 104880.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2023.104880
Spillane, J. P., & Shirrell, M. (2019). Breaking up Isn't Hard to Do: Distributed Leadership and the Reorganization of Primary School Leadership. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, *47*(3), 400–419. https://doi.org/10.1177/1741143217739361
Suryaman, Adha, M. A., Suharyanto, & Ariyanti, N. S. (2024). Principals' change leadership and e-learning effectiveness: The mediating role of teachers work commitment and attitudes toward change. *Cakrawala Pendidikan*, *43*(1), 88–101. https://doi.org/10.21831/cp.v43i1.48467
Williamson, B., & Hogan, A. (2020). Commercialisation and privatisation in public education: Digital platforms and policy. *Learning, Media and Technology*, *45*(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2019.1672723
Witthöft, J, et al. (2024). Leading digital innovation in schools: the role of the open innovation mindset. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*. https://doi.org/10.1080/15391523.2024.1234567
Wollscheid, S., Tømte, C. E., Egeberg, G. C., Karlstrøm, H., & Fossum, L. W. (2025). Research trends on digital school leadership over time: Science mapping and content analysis. In *Education and Information Technologies* (Vol. 30, Issue 1). Springer US. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-12909-3
Wong, Y. C., & Li, J. (2021). Leading Sustainable Digital Transformation in Schools. *Sustainability*, *13*(4), 2121. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13042121
Xu, C., Hania, A., & Waqas, M. (2024). Guiding the digital generation: role of principals' leadership, ICT competence, and teacher professional competence in fostering digital citizenship among university students. *Education and Information Technologies*, *30*(1), 1165–1189. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-13180-2