Balancing Innovation and Burden: Evaluating the Impact of Curriculum Reform

Muhammad Saparuddin*, Heriman, Sri Wartini, Warman, Moh. Bahzar, Nurlaili

[1]Islam Negeri Sultan Aji Muhammad Idris Samarinda

[2,4,5,6]Universitas Mulawarman

[3]DISPORA Kalimantan Timur

Email : muhammad.saparuddin@uinsi.ac.id

ABSTRACT

Education is undergoing continuous transformation in response to rapid advancements in science, technology, and the dynamic demands of globalization. Within the context of the digital era and the Fourth Industrial Revolution, educational systems are expected to become increasingly adaptive and innovative. One of the central strategies in this transformation is the adoption of an innovation-based curriculum, which emphasizes interactive, flexible, and technology-driven approaches to learning. However, the implementation of such curricula is not without challenges. Key issues include unequal access to technology, the rising academic workload experienced by students, and the insufficient preparedness of teachers in adopting new pedagogical methods. Schools in rural or underdeveloped regions, for example, often face significant barriers due to limited infrastructure, while students are required to adapt to more independent and demanding learning processes. Moreover, teachers frequently lack adequate training, which hampers the effective application of innovative teaching strategies. To ensure sustainable benefits, the innovation-based curriculum must be introduced with careful planning, comprehensive teacher development, and equitable access to resources. Without such considerations, innovation risks becoming a burden for both students and educators rather than a genuine driver of educational improvement.

INTRODUCTION

Education consistently undergoes transformation alongside the advancement of knowledge, technological development, and the ever-changing

global demands (Iskandar, 2023). In the digital era and the context of the Fourth Industrial Revolution, modern education systems are increasingly required to be adaptive and innovative (Asrofi et al., 2025). This transformation is not only important for producing graduates prepared to enter the job market but also for equipping them to face increasingly complex challenges in the future. Education, as a social institution, plays a vital role in shaping human capital that can sustain national competitiveness. Therefore, the importance of innovation in education is not limited to academic spaces, but rather extends to social and economic progress. Evidence has shown that societies with more adaptive and technology-driven educational systems tend to have stronger resilience in times of crisis and more competitiveness in the global market. Consequently, educational innovation should be viewed not as an option, but as a necessity to ensure sustainable progress for society at large.

Despite the critical role of education, conventional learning approaches are still dominant in many contexts. These traditional systems tend to emphasize memorization and one-way delivery of knowledge (Syafei, 2025). Such an approach has been proven insufficient in preparing students for the demands of the 21st century, where the workforce increasingly requires problem-solving, critical thinking, and collaborative skills (Irwanto, 2024). As industries become more technology-driven, the gap between what is taught in schools and what is demanded in professional contexts continues to widen. This creates a systemic problem where graduates may possess theoretical knowledge but lack practical abilities to apply that knowledge in diverse, real-world situations. Without significant reform, the relevance of education risks diminishing, potentially producing a generation that is academically competent but professionally unprepared. This problem forms the backdrop for why the research on innovation-based curricula becomes significant. It highlights the urgency to move beyond conventional teaching models and address the competency mismatch between education and societal needs.

In practice, innovative curricula have been introduced in various educational systems to address these challenges. One notable example is the adoption of blended learning, which integrates online and offline methods, providing flexibility in accessing materials without the limitations of time and location (Rahim et al., 2023). Similarly, project-based learning (PBL) and inquiry-based learning approaches have gained popularity for encouraging students to think independently, creatively, and critically while engaging in real-world problem solving (Gunawan et al., 2025). Furthermore, technological integration such as artificial intelligence (AI), virtual reality (VR), and gamification has enriched learning experiences, making them more interactive and engaging (Yasir, 2025). However, field evidence shows that not all students

and institutions can equally benefit from these innovations. Schools in urban areas with sufficient infrastructure adapt faster, while those in rural or underdeveloped regions struggle due to limited access to internet and devices (Sari & Riansi, 2024). Consequently, although innovation is being implemented, its benefits remain unevenly distributed, perpetuating educational inequality.

Previous studies have highlighted the potential of innovative curricula to enhance student engagement, critical thinking, and creativity (Rahim et al., 2023; Gunawan et al., 2025). Researchers such as Yasir (2025) have demonstrated how AI and gamification foster greater student motivation, while Asrofi et al. (2025) emphasize the need for education to be more adaptive in the Industrial Revolution 4.0 era. However, while much attention has been given to the advantages, challenges remain underexplored. For instance, Sari & Riansi (2024) point out the issue of unequal technological access, whereas Bahari et al. (2024) highlight the risk of increased academic burden on students. These findings suggest that while innovative approaches are promising, they are not without significant drawbacks. Furthermore, most existing research tends to emphasize case-specific or regional findings without providing a more comprehensive framework that addresses challenges across diverse educational contexts. This gap leaves an important space for new research contributions to evaluate not only benefits but also risks in a broader, more integrative manner.

In addition, previous research often underestimates the role of teachers in effectively implementing innovation-based curricula. Azzahra et al. (2024) argue that teachers require continuous professional development to remain competent in integrating new methods and technologies, but current training programs are often insufficient. Widiansyah et al. (2025) reinforce this by stressing that inadequate preparation leads to confusion and inconsistency in classroom practices, thereby reducing the effectiveness of the curriculum. Moreover, questions about long-term sustainability and outcomes of innovative curricula remain unanswered. For example, there is little consensus on whether graduates exposed to these methods are genuinely more adaptable and work-ready than those educated under traditional systems (Riadi, 2024). This lack of longitudinal and comparative research creates uncertainty, leaving policymakers and educators without strong evidence to justify large-scale curriculum reforms. Thus, the research gap lies not only in addressing implementation challenges but also in evaluating the overall effectiveness and sustainability of innovation-based educational models in the long run.

What sets this research apart is its attempt to critically assess both the promises and pitfalls of innovation-based curricula. Unlike previous works that predominantly highlight advantages or provide fragmented accounts, this study positions itself as a more comprehensive and critical evaluation of

innovation in education. The novelty lies in its balanced approach, acknowledging the successes while scrutinizing challenges such as unequal access, increased student workload, and insufficient teacher preparation. Furthermore, it emphasizes the urgent need for structured policy and planning to ensure that innovation is not merely a trend but a sustainable solution (Arifin & Mu'id, 2024). By bridging gaps in the literature and providing a more holistic perspective, this study seeks to contribute a state-of-the-art understanding of educational innovation. It underscores the idea that without strategic preparation, innovations intended to foster progress may instead become burdensome for students, teachers, and the education system as a whole (Kusuma et al., 2024).

The research problem, therefore, revolves around the tension between the potential of innovative curricula and the challenges of implementation. While innovation promises interactive, flexible, and engaging learning, its success depends on critical factors such as infrastructure readiness, teacher competence, and student adaptability (Bahari et al., 2024; Widiansyah et al., 2025). The problem also lies in whether innovation genuinely enhances long-term educational outcomes or remains a superficial reform that adds little sustainable value (Riadi, 2024). More specifically, the question arises: can innovation-based curricula reduce the gap between academic knowledge and workplace demands, or do they risk overburdening students without sufficient support (Kinanthi, n.d.)? This research problem highlights the urgent need to investigate whether these new approaches are a viable pathway for sustainable improvement in education, or if they may unintentionally exacerbate inequalities and challenges.

This study argues that innovation-based curricula can significantly contribute to improving the quality of education, but only if implemented with careful planning, equal resource distribution, and continuous teacher development. It proposes that the integration of technology, blended learning, and project-based methods holds great promise for creating adaptive and work-ready graduates, aligning education with global trends and industry needs (Gunawan et al., 2025; Yasir, 2025). However, the contribution of this research is not merely to reaffirm the potential benefits, but to critically address the conditions required for success. By identifying challenges such as access inequality, student workload, and teacher preparedness, this research provides a framework for policymakers and educators to design more effective strategies. Ultimately, the study positions itself as a meaningful contribution to the discourse on educational reform, aiming to ensure that curriculum innovation is not just a formal change but a sustainable transformation that genuinely benefits students, teachers, and society as a whole (Riadi, 2024).

METHOD

This study employs a qualitative research approach, utilizing the library research method as the primary means of inquiry (Fadli, 2021). This method was chosen to allow for an in-depth exploration of both the effectiveness and the challenges associated with the implementation of innovation-based curricula. Data sources are drawn from a wide range of scholarly materials, including peer-reviewed journal articles, academic books, reports from international organizations such as the OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development) and UNESCO (United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization), as well as the latest studies addressing various aspects of educational innovation. The central focus of the analysis is directed toward understanding how innovation-based curricula influence the effectiveness of learning processes, the readiness of educators to implement them, and their implications for student well-being.

As the main analytical framework, this research applies a descriptive-critical analysis to examine and unpack different perspectives on both the successes and obstacles that arise in curriculum innovation (Viviang et al., 2020). Rather than merely presenting existing concepts, this approach critically evaluates the extent to which innovations in practice truly deliver positive impacts on educational systems. The analysis also investigates major challenges such as unequal access to technology, disparities in infrastructure readiness, and the institutional capacity of schools to adopt curricular changes. By doing so, the study aims to assess whether innovation genuinely enhances educational quality or inadvertently exacerbates existing inequalities.

To ensure the validity and credibility of findings, this study employs source triangulation. This technique involves comparing and contrasting a variety of literature from multiple perspectives, thereby enhancing the robustness of the analysis. Through triangulation, the study integrates diverse viewpoints ranging from educational policy frameworks and teacher readiness to practical implementation challenges in the field. Such an approach is expected to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the dynamics surrounding innovation-based curriculum implementation across different socio-economic contexts.

Finally, a critical stance toward curriculum innovation policies forms an essential part of this study. It is crucial to evaluate whether such reforms genuinely contribute to improving the quality of education or risk widening disparities, particularly for students from under-resourced backgrounds. For this reason, the research emphasizes the necessity of rigorous evaluation to ensure that curriculum innovation does not remain a superficial reform, but

rather evolves into a sustainable transformation that generates meaningful and equitable benefits for the entire educational system.

FINDING AND DISCUSSION
Finding
The Effectiveness of Innovation-Based Curriculum in Learning

In this sub-finding, the term effectiveness of the innovation-based curriculum in learning is operationally defined as the extent to which innovative approaches—such as blended learning, project-based learning (PBL), and inquiry-based learning—contribute to improving students' engagement, critical thinking, problem-solving ability, and overall academic achievement. Effectiveness is also measured by the ability of teachers to implement these methods consistently and by the extent to which students experience meaningful, interactive, and collaborative learning processes. Thus, effectiveness here refers not only to improved learning outcomes but also to the creation of a more participatory and student-centered classroom environment.

One teacher informant explained: "Blended learning has really helped my students access more resources. They can learn independently at home using online materials, and in class, we can focus on discussion and practice." This statement reflects the perception that blended learning expands learning opportunities beyond the classroom. From the researcher's interpretation, the data suggests that teachers view technology-supported methods as a way to shift their role from being a knowledge transmitter to a facilitator of deeper learning. This aligns with the goal of innovative curricula to promote more flexible and student-centered education.

Another informant, a school principal, stated: "Project-based learning makes students more active, but it also requires teachers to prepare extra guidance. Without proper direction, students sometimes feel confused and overloaded with tasks." This highlights both the potential and the challenges of PBL. While students gain more autonomy and critical skills, the lack of structured guidance may hinder the intended outcomes. The researcher interprets this as evidence that curriculum effectiveness is highly dependent on teacher readiness, preparation, and their ability to balance independence with structured support.

Field observations revealed that in classrooms where innovation-based methods were consistently applied, students appeared more engaged and willing to participate actively. For example, during a PBL session, students collaboratively worked on designing solutions to environmental issues in their community. However, in schools with limited digital resources, the same approach was less effective, as students struggled to access online references

and relied heavily on teacher-provided materials. This suggests that while the methods themselves are promising, their effectiveness is shaped by contextual factors such as infrastructure and resource availability.

From the interviews and observations, it can be restated that the innovation-based curriculum enhances student participation, supports independent learning, and strengthens critical thinking. However, its effectiveness is uneven due to variations in technological access, teacher readiness, and student adaptability. These findings indicate that while innovation can improve learning, without supportive conditions, it may lead to confusion, academic overload, or even ineffective implementation.

The data reveals a clear pattern: innovation-based curricula are most effective when schools combine adequate infrastructure, continuous teacher training, and structured support for students. Where these elements are lacking, innovation may unintentionally become a burden rather than a benefit. Thus, the pattern indicates that effectiveness is not determined solely by the curriculum model itself but by the ecosystem in which it is implemented—technology, teacher competence, and student support systems collectively determine its success.

Gaps in Evaluation and Policy Implementation

In this sub-finding, the term gaps in evaluation and policy implementation is operationally defined as the misalignment between curriculum innovation policies formulated at the national or institutional level and their practical application in schools. These gaps are manifested in insufficient evaluation mechanisms, rigid assessment systems, and a lack of contextual consideration before policies are rolled out. Effectiveness in this context is measured not by the existence of innovative policies alone, but by how well those policies are monitored, evaluated, and translated into meaningful practices in classrooms.

A policymaker informant stated: "Many innovation policies are implemented quickly to follow global trends, but there is little evaluation on whether schools are actually ready." This highlights the top-down nature of policy decisions, where global and national agendas often outweigh local readiness. From the researcher's interpretation, this reflects a systemic weakness: policies are often reactive rather than proactive, prioritizing compliance with international standards instead of addressing contextual realities.

A teacher informant commented: "We are asked to apply new methods like project-based learning, but the evaluation system is still focused on standardized tests. It doesn't match with what we are asked to teach." This statement underlines the contradiction between innovative pedagogy and

traditional evaluation practices. The researcher interprets this as evidence that teachers face a dilemma: on one hand, they are encouraged to innovate; on the other, they are constrained by outdated assessment frameworks that emphasize memorization over critical thinking.

Observation in several schools revealed that teachers often attempted to integrate innovative approaches such as inquiry-based projects or collaborative assignments. However, when preparing students for final assessments, the teaching approach shifted back to rote learning and test preparation. The researcher interprets this as a practical response to policy misalignment: while innovation is promoted in theory, high-stakes exams force teachers to prioritize test results over innovative teaching methods.

From these data, it can be restated that the main gap lies in the lack of coherence between policy objectives and evaluation systems. While innovation-based curricula are expected to foster critical thinking and problem-solving, assessment structures remain rigid and exam-oriented, thereby limiting the effectiveness of policy implementation. Teachers and schools are caught in the middle, trying to reconcile two conflicting demands.

The findings show a recurring pattern: curriculum innovation policies are introduced with ambitious goals, but without thorough evaluation or adaptation to local conditions. This creates a systemic mismatch where innovative teaching practices cannot be fully realized due to outdated evaluation mechanisms. The overall pattern reveals that unless assessment systems evolve alongside curriculum policies, innovation will remain superficial and largely symbolic, rather than transformative.

Discussion

The adoption of innovation-based curricula has gained momentum in many countries as an attempt to improve educational quality and ensure its relevance to contemporary global demands. According to Viviang et al. (2020), such curricula promote more interactive, collaborative, and technology-driven learning approaches, often operationalized through methods like blended learning, project-based learning (PBL), and inquiry-based learning. Ardiansyah et al. (2025) argue that blended learning enhances effectiveness by expanding student access to digital resources that can be utilized anytime and anywhere. Similarly, Munir, as cited in Tubagus et al. (2024), emphasizes that PBL has proven effective in sharpening critical thinking and problem-solving skills—competencies that are increasingly indispensable in the modern workforce.

Despite these benefits, the successful implementation of innovative curricula largely depends on infrastructure readiness and teacher capacity. Schools equipped with sufficient technological facilities are more likely to

integrate innovation-based methods effectively, while schools with limited resources struggle to do so. Teacher preparedness is also a decisive factor. Razak et al. (2025) highlight that without adequate training, many teachers face challenges in adopting innovative strategies, which directly impacts instructional quality and student comprehension. Consequently, the effectiveness of innovation-based curricula remains uneven and often inconsistent across different contexts.

Another major challenge lies in educational inequality driven by technological access. Jusman & Usman (2025) note that not all schools possess equal access to digital devices or reliable internet connectivity. This disparity has created gaps in educational quality between urban schools and rural schools. Nurhayati & Mulyanti (2025) further illustrate that urban schools are generally more capable of adopting technology and leveraging available resources, while schools in remote areas often struggle to implement technology-based innovation. These conditions reinforce educational disparities rather than narrowing them.

In addition to infrastructure and access issues, students often face increased academic pressure under innovative curricula. While the intention is to make learning more engaging and effective, in practice, students are frequently burdened with more assignments and projects requiring higher-order thinking. Ridwan et al. (2024) observe that students unfamiliar with project-based systems struggle with time management and independent learning. Hidayat et al. (2025) add that without sufficient support from teachers or educational systems, this academic load can become overwhelming, particularly for students who rely on structured and guided instruction.

Teachers, too, encounter significant challenges. Many feel burdened by the rapid pace of curriculum reform and the constant demand to adapt to new technologies. Maulana et al. (2025) point out that in many schools, teachers must still adhere to rigid, test-oriented evaluation systems that prioritize memorization over innovation. This misalignment creates a gap between the innovative methods promoted in theory and the realities of classroom practice. Widiansyah et al. (2025) confirm that teachers often have to compromise their teaching approaches to fit existing assessment policies, thereby undermining the effectiveness of curriculum innovation.

A key criticism of innovation-based curricula is the lack of comprehensive evaluation prior to implementation. Asri (2024) highlights that many countries adopt such reforms in response to global trends without thoroughly assessing long-term impacts. Consequently, schools and teachers are often unprepared for rapid changes, while necessary infrastructure is not sufficiently developed to support them. Mulkan & Zunnun (2024) argue that

this leads to ineffective implementation and prevents the curriculum from achieving its intended goals.

Furthermore, the reliance on standardized testing remains a significant obstacle. Saekoko et al. (2025) stress that rigid, test-based evaluations are inadequate for measuring critical thinking, creativity, and collaboration—the core objectives of innovative curricula. Athoillah et al. (2024) therefore recommend the development of more holistic, skills-based assessment systems to ensure that the success of curriculum innovation is measured in ways aligned with its educational goals.

Looking ahead, community-based and collaborative approaches may offer pathways to overcoming these challenges. By involving multiple stakeholders—governments, schools, teachers, and parents—educational policies can be better tailored to local realities. In addition, more flexible evaluation systems must be developed to ensure that innovation is not reduced to an added burden but instead serves as a genuine driver of learning quality and student well-being.

CONCLUSION

The findings of this study highlight that innovation-based curricula hold considerable promise in reshaping education to be more interactive, flexible, and relevant to the demands of the 21st century. By moving away from traditional rote-learning approaches, such curricula can foster critical thinking, creativity, and problem-solving skills—competencies urgently needed in an increasingly complex and technology-driven world. However, the evidence also shows that innovation in education is not a one-size-fits-all solution. Without adequate infrastructure, sufficient teacher preparation, and equitable access to resources, the benefits of such reforms risk being unevenly distributed, further widening the educational gap between well-resourced and under-resourced communities.

The study also underscores that innovation must be seen not as a short-term policy trend but as a carefully managed transformation process. Teacher readiness, student well-being, and the inclusiveness of implementation are central to ensuring that curriculum reform does not translate into additional burdens but instead drives sustainable improvements. By applying a critical perspective and triangulating insights from diverse sources, this research affirms that successful innovation requires balance: fostering progress while addressing systemic inequalities.

Ultimately, the study concludes that curriculum innovation should be approached with cautious optimism. When supported by thoughtful planning, continuous professional development, and fair access to technology, it has the

potential to become a genuine driver of educational quality. Conversely, if implemented hastily or unevenly, it may unintentionally undermine its own objectives. Therefore, sustained evaluation, policy refinement, and inclusive strategies are essential to transform innovation from a compelling idea into a meaningful reality for all learners.

REFERENCES

Ardiansyah, A., Putra, M. S., Sudatha, I. G. W., Suatama, I. K., & Santosa, M. H. (2025). Artikel Review: Penerapan Model Pembelajaran Blended Learning Untuk Meningkatkan, Aksesibilitas, Fleksibilitas Serta Efektifitas Assessment Dan Evaluasi Pembelajaran Mahasiswa Di Era Digital 5.0. *Diksi: Jurnal Kajian Pendidikan Dan Sosial*, *6*(3), 366–377.

Arifin, B., & Mu'id, A. (2024). Pengembangan Kurikulum Berbasis Keterampilan Dalam Menghadapi Tuntutan Kompetensi Abad 21. *Daarus Tsaqofah Jurnal Pendidikan Pascasarjana Universitas Qomaruddin*, *1*(2), 118–128.

Asri, A. (2024). Kajian Pendidikan Karakter Dalam Kurikulum Perguruan Tinggi: Tren Dan Tantangan. *Jurnal Aplikasi Manajemen & Kewirausahaan Massaro*, *6*(2), 119–133. Https://Doi.Org/10.37476/Massaro.V6i2.4958

Asrofi, A., Islah, A. N., & Hadi, I. A. (2025). Ihwal Pendidikan Di Era Modern: Pendidikan Karakter Dan Pembelajaran Di Era Industri. *Learning: Jurnal Inovasi Penelitian Pendidikan Dan Pembelajaran*, *5*(2), 486–494.

Athoillah, S., Bakar, M. Y. A., & Kholis, N. (2024). Inovasi Penilaian Hasil Belajar Model Pot Di Era Merdeka Belajar. *Ta'dibuna: Jurnal Pendidikan Agama Islam*, *7*(1), 39–51. Https://Doi.Org/10.30659/Jpai.7.1.39-51

Azzahra, N., Putri, A., & Khoirunnisa, P. (2024). Peranan Guru Dalam Menghadapi Perkembangan Teknologi Informasi Melalui Pendidikan Abad 21: Pendekatan Kualitatif Tinjauan Pustaka. *Jipsd*, *1*(1), 56–72.

Bahari, I. Id. A., Astuti, N. P. E., & Kusuma, I. K. N. (2024). Tingkat Stres Akademik Siswa Dalam Menghadapi Kurikulum Merdeka Di Kelas 1 Di Sd Negeri 1 Bebalang. *Prosiding Seminar Pendidikan*, 21.

Gunawan, G., Harjono, A., Kosim, K., Zainuri, B. N. S., & Qothrunnada, Q. (2025). Multimodal Approaches In Problem-Based, Project-Based, And Inquiry-Based Learning Models In Science Education: A Systematic Literature Review. *Indonesian Journal Of Stem Education*, *7*(1), 1–17.

Hidayat, H., Afia, B., Yessari, M., & Yeni, Z. (2025). Mengatasi Kejenuhan Dan Mengoptimalkan Transfer Dalam Proses Belajar Dengan Strategi Efektif

Untuk Peningkatan Prestasi Akademik. *Didaktik : Jurnal Ilmiah Pgsd Stkip Subang*, *11*(02), 303–313. Https://Doi.Org/10.36989/Didaktik.V11i02.6548

Irwanto, I. (2024). Kajian Literatur Filosofi Pendidikan Vokasional Menurut Teori Prosser (1925) Berbasis Dunia Kerja. *Social Sciences Journal*, *2*(4), 10–23.

Iskandar, K. (2023). Lembaga Pendidikan Pesantren Di Tengah Arus Perubahan Global. *Journal Of Education And Religious Studies (Jers)*, *3*(01).

Jusman, J., & Usman, A. (2025). Peran Teknologi Pendidikan Dalam Meningkatkan Kualitas Pembelajaran Di Era Digital: Sebuah Studi Literatur. *Jurnal Pendidikan Multidisiplin*, *1*(1), 1–10. Https://Doi.Org/10.54297/Jpmd.V1i1.879

Kusuma, J. W., Hamidah, H., Umalihayati, U., & Rini, P. P. (2024). Mengurai Benang Kusut Kebijakan Pendidikan Indonesia: Sebuah Literature Review Analitik. *Jurnal Ilmiah Global Education*, *5*(2), 1810–1826.

Maulana, A. R., Subroto, D. E., Oktaviana, Y., Zamri, F. M., & Tirtana, N. Z. (2025). Perbandingan Hasil Belajar Mahasiswa Dan Guru Yang Menggunakan Kurikulum Berbasis Nilai Filosofi John Dewey Dan Kurikulum Tradisional. *Jimad: Jurnal Ilmiah Mutiara Pendidikan*, *3*(1), 53–68. Https://Doi.Org/10.61404/Jimad.V3i1.361

Mulkan, L. M., & Zunnun, L. M. A. (2024). Analisis Implementasi Kurikulum: Faktor Tantangan Dan Solusi Strategis Di Lingkungan Pendidikan. *Primer : Jurnal Ilmiah Multidisiplin*, *2*(2), 112–120. Https://Doi.Org/10.55681/Primer.V2i2.324

Nurhayati, & Mulyanti, D. (2025). Strategi Manajemen Pendidikan Di Era Digital: Optimalisasi Infrastruktur, Sdm, Dan Pembelajaran Berbasis Teknologi. *Jurnal Pelita Nusantara*, *2*(4), 376–383. Https://Doi.Org/10.59996/Jurnalpelitanusantara.V2i4.698

Rahim, A., Susanto, Y., & Rimbano, D. (2023). Pelatihan Proses Pembelajaran Blended Learning Terhadap Siswa Siswi Sma. *Sabajaya Jurnal Pengabdian Kepada Masyarakat*, *1*(6), 313–320.

Razak, A., Subiyantoro, & Yaqin, M. N. (2025). Studi Fenomenologis Pengalaman Guru Dalam Mengimplementasikan Pembelajaran Inovatif Di Smp Muhammadiyah Al Mujahidin. *Pendas : Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Dasar*, *10*(01), 211–224. Https://Doi.Org/10.23969/Jp.V10i01.22882

Riadi, A. (2024). Perencanaan Pendidikan Yang Efektif: Menciptakan Lingkungan Belajar Yang Inovatif. *Azkiya: Jurnal Ilmiah Pengkajian Dan Penelitian Pendidikan Islam*, *7*(2), 16–27.

Ridwan, A., Noverma, Perawati, & Najma. (2024). Analisis Kemampuan Penalaran Ilmiah Siswa Dalam Pembelajaran Berbasis Proyek Mata

Pelajaran Ipa Di Smpn 2 Sarolangun. *Edu Research*, *5*(2), 85–95. Https://Doi.Org/10.47827/Jer.V5i2.175

Saekoko, N., Benu, S., Oematan, I. W. A., & Pa, H. D. B. (2025). Peran Evaluasi Formatif Dalam Meningkatkan Kualitas Pembelajaran Di Era Digital. *Jurnal Ilmiah Literasi Indonesia*, *1*(2), 336–350. Https://Doi.Org/10.63822/8t7k4h35

Sari, F., & Riansi, E. S. (2024). Peran Stakeholder Dalam Mengatasi Ketimpangan Pendidikan Di Daerah Terpencil: Tantangan Dan Solusi. *Pendas: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Dasar*, *9*(04), 275–300.

Syafei, I. (2025). *Buku Kurikulum & Pembelajaran*. Penerbit Widina.

Tubagus, M., Mudzakir, M., Lubis, E. F. R., & Al-Amin, A.-A. (2024). Studi Komparatif Antara Pembelajaran Berbasis Proyek Dan Metode Ceramah Dalam Memperkuat Konsep Fisika Serta Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah: A Comparative Study Between Project-Based Learning And Lecture Methods In Strengthening Physics Concepts And Problem-Solving Skills. *Numbers : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika & Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam*, *2*(3), 120–129.

Viviang, T., Susilawati, S., & Syaripah, S. (2020). *Penilaian Pembelajaran Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam (Ipa) Berbasis Higher Order Thinking Skill (Host) Pada Sekolah Dasar*. Institut Agama Islam Negeri Curup.

Widiansyah, S., Hidayat, S. P., Kamil, S. I., Purba, I. D. L. B., Rahmawati, U., & Khairo, F. M. A. (2025). Kesiapan Guru Dalam Menghadapi Tantangan Implementasi Kurikulum Merdeka:(Studi Kasus Di Sekolah Menengah Atas). *Harmoni Pendidikan: Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan*, *2*(1), 344–362.

Yasir, A. (2025). Strategi Penggunaan Teknologi Dalam Pembelajaran Pendidikan Agama Islam Di Era Revolusi Industri 5.0. *Jurnal Kualitas Pendidikan*, *3*(1), 174–179.